1183

Fluorescent PET (Photo-induced Electron Transfer) Sensors for Alkali Metal lons with Improved Selectivity against Protons and with Predictable Binding Constants

A. Prasanna de Silva and K. R. A. Samankumara Sandanayake

Chemistry Department, Queen's University, Belfast BT9 5AG, Northern Ireland

Alkali metal ions enhance the fluorescence quantum yield of the benzocrown ether derivatives (1c) and (1d) in methanol by factors of \leq 15 with no other visible changes in the electronic spectra and with predictable stability constants for the sensor–cation interaction, whereas protons exert a much smaller influence.

The *in situ* monitoring of sodium and potassium ions in biological systems is an exciting prospect which has prompted the development of optical sensors for the alkali metal ions.¹ Several recent reports^{2–4} focus on azamacrocyclic derivatives with fluorophores non-adjacent to nitrogen, whose sensor action presumably depends on photo-induced electron transfer. While these sensors are useful in certain contexts, the presence of a basic nitrogen atom leads to an undesirable large enhancement of the fluorescence quantum yield(ϕ_F) by protons.^{2,3,5} We have now overcome this obstacle with the sensors (**1c**) and (**1d**),⁶ which satisfy our previous design logic.^{3,7,8}

Derivatives (1c) and (1d)[†] display a very simple fluorescent

sensor action (Table 1), with all the observable electronic spectral parameters being independent of analyte nature and



c; $R^1 = R^2 = (OCH_2CH_2)_4O$ d; $R^1 = R^2 = (OCH_2CH_2)_5O$

 $[\]ddagger$ 4-(9-Anthryl methyl)-anisole and -veratrole⁹ were formylated at the 10 position¹⁰ and converted to (1a) and (1b), respectively, by dehydration of the oximes (*e.g.*, ref. 11). Derivatives (1c) and (1d) were prepared similarly. All compounds had the expected spectral properties.

Metal ion M+	$\phi_{Fmax}^{b} (M_{tot.max}^{+})^{c}$		log β ^d		$\log \beta_{\text{parent}}^e$	
	(1c)	(1d)	(1c)	(1d)	B15C5	B18C6
Li	$0.007 (3.0 \times 10^{-1})$	0.0012 ()	1.7	f	2.3	
Na	$0.019 (5.0 \times 10^{-2})$	$0.0017(2 \times 10^{-3})$	3.0	4.35	3.1	4.3
K	$0.0038(1.0 \times 10^{-2})$	$0.0039(6 \times 10^{-4})$	3.1	5.3	2.9	5.3
Rb	$0.0028(2.5 \times 10^{-2})$	$0.0024(1 \times 10^{-3})$	2.85	4.6	2.4	4.9
Cs	$0.0030(3.7 \times 10^{-2})$	$0.0028(2 \times 10^{-3})$	2.55	4.05	2.1	3.9

^a 10^{-6} M Fluors with $\leq 10^{-1}$ M alkali metal acetates in aerated MeOH (acetate salts are soluble in MeOH at the required concentrations). $\lambda_{ex} 368$, $\lambda_{em} 449$ nm, though many other wavelength combinations within the band envelopes are usable. The solutions were handled in polyethylene and quartz vessels as far as possible to minimize contamination by trace sodium and potassium ions. Derivatives (**1a**—**d**) have essentially identical u.v. absorption spectra [λ_{max}/nm , ($\varepsilon_{max} dm^3$ mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹) 411(9600), 390(11500), 370(8600)], and fluorescence spectral shapes and positions [$\lambda_{max}/nm 449,428$]. ^b The ϕ_F values of (**1a**) and (**1b**) are 0.59 and 0.0019, respectively, and are independent of all metal ions tested, as are their other electronic spectral parameters. The ϕ_{Fmin} values of (**1c**) and (**1d**) are both 0.0012. Values of ϕ_F measured from corrected spectra with respect to the standard 9,10-diphenyl anthracene, whose ϕ_F is taken as 1.00 in deaerated MeOH.¹² H₂SO₄ (10^{-2} M) changes the ϕ_F of (**1a**—**d**) by 0, +8, +20 and +20%, respectively. Me₄NOH (10^{-2} M) [with 25% (v/v) water and 5 × 10⁻³ M 18-crown-6 (alkali cation scavenger)] changes the ϕ_F of (**1a**—**d**) by 0, -70, -40 and -12%, respectively. (Mt_{0t}) profiles (Figure 1) with linear least-squares analysis. Average correlation coefficient = 0.997 (average n = 11). I_F values deviate negatively from equation (1) at ion concentrations greater than ($M_{tot.max}^+$) and these are excluded from the correlation. ^c Averaged values, where possible, are taken from ref. 13. ^f The ϕ_{Fmax} value is insufficiently different from ϕ_{Fmin} to apply, equation (1).



Figure 1. Fluorescence intensity $I_{\rm F} - M_{\rm tot}^{\star}$ profiles for (1c) with various alkali metal acetates.

concentration [and essentially identical to those of (1a) and (1b)] except for the fluorescence intensity (I_F) (Figure 1) which is, however, well described by equation (1). It is notable that the log β values so obtained for the (1c)- or (1d)-alkali cation interaction are essentially predictable from those involving the corresponding parent benzocrown ethers.¹³

$$\frac{(I_{\rm F} - I_{\rm Fmin})}{(I_{\rm Fmax} - I_{\rm F})} = \beta \left[M_{\rm tot}^+ - L_{\rm tot} \frac{(I_{\rm F} - I_{\rm Fmin})}{(I_{\rm Fmax} - I_{\rm Fmin})} \right]$$
(1)

When free of alkali cations, (1c) and (1d) have ϕ_F values close to that of the control molecule (1b), but are enhanced

 \leq 15-fold upon interaction with these cations, while (1b) shows no such enhancement. However, these enhanced ϕ_F values are still well below that of the other molecule (1a), and may result from the modest alkali cation-induced increase of the oxidation potential of the relatively well delocalized electron donor 1,2-dioxybenzene moiety.¹⁴

We are now working to improve further the ϕ_F enhancements and selectivities in these and other (logically related) molecules. However, (1c) should be usable as it is for *in situ* (Na⁺) monitoring in certain simple microsystems.[‡]

We thank the S.E.R.C., Queen's University, and Professor R. Grigg for support and help with aspects of this work. We are also grateful to Professors H. Bouas-Laurent and H. Shizuka for drawing our attention to their work.

Received, 20th October 1988; Com. 8/04164D

References

1 Leading references: M. Takagi and K. Ueno, Top. Curr. Chem., 1984, 121, 39; M. Takagi and H. Nakamura, J. Coord Chem., 1986, 15, 53; H. G. Lohr and F. Vögtle, Acc. Chem. Res., 1985, 18, 65; S. Shinkai, Y. Ishikawa, H. Shinkai, T. Tsuno, H. Makishima, K. Ueda, and O. Manabe, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1984, 106, 1801; J. F. Alder, D. C. Ashworth, R. Narayanaswamy, R. E. Moss, and I. O. Sutherland, Analyst, 1987, 112, 1191; J. Van Gent, E. J. R. Sudholter, P. V. Lambeck, T. J. A. Popma, G. J. Geritsma, and D. N. Reinhoudt, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1988, 893; D. J. Cram, R. A. Carmack, and R. C. Helgeson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1988, 110, 571; O. S. Wolfbeis and B. P. H. Schaffar, Anal. Chim. Acta, 1987, 198, 1; Z. Zhujun and W. R. Seitz, Proc. SPIE, 1988, 906, 74; K. Hiratani, Analyst, 1988, 113, 1065; S. Ogawa, R. Narushima, and Y. Arai, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1984, 106, 5760; K. Nakashima, S. Nakatsuji, S. Akiyama, I. Tanigawa, T. Kaneda, and S. Misumi, Talanta, 1984, 31, 749; K. Wheeling and G. D. Christian, Anal. Lett., 1984, 17, 217; M. Shirai and M. Tanaka, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1988, 381; J. Bourson and B. Valeur, J. Phys. Chem., 1989, 93, 3871; cf. S. Fery-Forgues, M. T. Le Bris, J. P. Guette, and B. Valeur, J. Phys. Chem., 1988, 92, 6233; K. W. Street and S. A. Krause, Anal. Lett., 1986, 19, 735.

[‡] Note added in proof. Two Na⁺ sensors employing azamacrocycles of reduced basicity have recently come to our attention: A. Minta, A. T. Harootunian, J. P. Y. Kao, and R. Y. Tsien, J. Cell Biol., 1987, **105**, 89a; G. A. Smith, T. R. Hesketh, and J. C. Metcalfe, Biochem. J., 1988, **250**, 227.

- 2 J. P. Konopelski, F. Kotzyba-Hibbert, J.-M. Lehn, J. P. Desvergne, F. Fages, A. Castellan, and H. Bouas-Laurent, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1985, 433 (anthraceno cryptands).
- 3 A. P. de Silva and S. A. de Silva, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1986, 1709 (anthracenyl monoazacrown ethers).
- 4 D. Masilamani, M. Lucas, and K. Morgan (quoted by S. C. Stinson), Chem. Eng. News, 1987, 65(45), 26 (coumaro cryptands). The suggested sensing mechanism of structural rigidification by ion binding, however, only causes small φ_F enhancements: H. Shizuka, K. Takada, and T. Morita, J. Phys. Chem., 1980, 84, 994; O. S. Wolfbeis and H. Offenbacher, Monatsh. Chem., 1984, 115, 647. Interestingly, even some diethylamino coumarins are known to undergo photo-induced electron transfer from tertiary aliphatic amines: G. Jones, S. F. Griffin, C. Y. Choi, and W. R. Bergmark, J. Org. Chem., 1984, 49, 2705.
- H. Shizuka, M. Nakamura, and T. Morita, J. Phys. Chem., 1979, 83, 2019; H. Shizuka, T. Ogiwara, and E. Kimura, *ibid.*, 1985, 89, 4302; A. P. de Silva and R. A. D. D. Rupasinghe, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1985, 1669; M. E. Huston, K. W. Haider, and A. W. Czarnik, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1988, 110, 4460.
- 6 Intermolecular analogues: J. Eriksen and C. S. Foote, J. Phys. Chem., 1978, 82, 2659; S. Hirayama and D. Phillips, *ibid.*, 1981, 85, 643; K. Kikuchi, M. Hoshi, Y. Shiraishi, and H. Kokubun, *ibid.*, 1987, 91, 574.
- 7 For other cases free of nitrogen atoms, see: H. Bouas-Laurent, A. Castellan, M. Daney, J. P. Desvergne, G. Guinand, P. Marsau, and M. H. Riffaud, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1986, 108, 315 (good metal ion-induced spectral changes but with photochemical complications); S. Ghosh, M. Petrin, A. H. Maki, and L. R. Sousa, J. Chem. Phys., 1988, 88, 2913 and earlier papers. See also ref. 4. All these latter references show small metal ion-induced spectral effects.
- 8 A. J. Bryan, A. P. de Silva, S. A. de Silva, R. A. D. D. Rupasinghe, and K. R. A. S. Sandanayake, *Biosensors*, 1989, 4, 169.
- 9 A. L. Cherian, P. Y. Pandit, and S. Seshadri, *Ind. J. Chem.*, 1972, 10, 267.
- 10 E. Campaigne and W. L. Archer, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1952, 75, 989.
- 11 D. E. Rivett, J. Rosevear, and J. F. K. Wilshire, Aust. J. Chem., 1979, 32, 1601.
- I. H. Leaver and D. E. Rivett, Mol. Photochem., 1974, 6, 113; cf.
 A. Maciejewski and R. P. Steer, J. Photochem., 1986, 35, 59.
- 13 R. M. Izatt, J. S. Bradshaw, S. A. Nielsen, J. D. Lamb, J. J. Christensen, and D. Sen, *Chem. Rev.*, 1985, **85**, 271; Y. Takeda and T. Kumazawa, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 1988, **61**, 655.
- 14 E.g., K. Maruyama, H. Sohmiya, and H. Tsukube, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1985, 26, 3583; M. Delgado, D. A. Gustowski, H. K. Yoo, V. J. Gatto, G. W. Gokel, and L. Echegoyen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1988, 110, 119.